

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_	
10/665,632 09/22/2003		09/22/2003	Sang-Hyeop Lee	SEC.1064 7306			
20987	7590	01/27/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
VOLENTI	NE FRAN	COS, & WHITT	MITCHELL	MITCHELL, JAMES M			
ONE FREEI	OOM SQU	ARE		ADT : DUT	D + DED > U D + DED	_	
11951 FREE	EDOM DR	IVE SUITE 1260	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_		
RESTON, V	/A 20190)		2813			

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(X)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/665,632	SAN HYEOP					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	James M. Mitchell	2813					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	S				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frought, cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this commun NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.				
Status		•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22	Sentember 2003.						
,	his action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		prosecution as to the mer	rits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde							
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami							
	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached Onit	SE ACTION OF TOTH FIG-13	5∠.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a li	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicationity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stag	le				
Attachment(s)		•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summa						
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:	Date Il Patent Application (PTO-152))				

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/665,632

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-28 and 31-50, drawn to method, classified in class 438, subclass 124.
- II. Claims 29, 30 and 51-61, drawn to device, classified in class 257, subclass 787.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as without a filling step.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,632

Art Unit: 2813

If applicant elects the method claims, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: the species of molding only a top surface, and the species of simultaneously molding a top and bottom surface.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added.

An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,632

Art Unit: 2813

A telephone call was made to Adam Volentine on January 21, 2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James M. Mitchell whose telephone number is (571) 272-1931. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

Art Unit: 2813

January 21, 2005